rpa

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.1586 OF 2006
IN
APPEAL NO. 392 OF 2006
IN
SUIT NO.4972 OF 1999

Suresh Shantaram Mohite .. Appellant.

V/s.

Shantaram S. Mohite .. Respondent.

Mr.B.A.Bhakad with S.A.Tawate for the Appellant.
None for Respondent.

CORAM : DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN AND
ANOOP V.MOHTA, JJ.

DATE : 11th DECEMBER, 2007.

P.C. :-

. Heard the learned Counsel for the Appellant. None appeared for the Respondents though served. The learned Counsel for the Appellant undertakes to file an affidavit of service within one week from today. Perused the Notice of Motion and affidavit in support thereof For the reasons stated therein especially in paragraph 6 to 12 of the affidavit in support of the Notice of Motion sufficient cause is made out for condoning the delay in filing the above appeal. There is no case of inaction, negligence or want of bonafide on the part of the Appellant. Hence, the Notice of Motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.) (DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN,J.)